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#### Abstract

Determination of the absolute configuration of 1.1'-binaphthyls by the use of Cotton effects associated with the short wave band of the electronic absorption spectra is discussed and applied to examples with substituents in the 8 - and $8^{\prime}$-positions. Racemisation parameters are determined for $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl-8.8'-diacetic acid in $0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous sodium hydroxide solution.


The 1, 1 '-binaphthyl system was cited by Mislow and his co-workers in $1962^{1}$ as an example of an inherently dissymmetric chromophore: ${ }^{2}$ the relationship between the u.v. absorption spectra, o.r.d. spectra, and c.d. of some of its $2,2^{\prime}$-disubstituted derivatives was discussed ${ }^{3}$ and the generalisation proposed that a positive Cotton effect centred near 285 nm in this series corresponds with the $R$-configuration. Recently this study has been extended to $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl itself, ${ }^{4}$ observations being made over a larger range of wave-length than was previously available: the Mislow generalisation is affirmed in the parent compound, there being a small negative Cotton effect in the 285 nm region in the $S$-( + )-enantiomer. However, a pair of Cotton effects of opposite signs and of high rotational strengths which appear in the short wave region, would, if common to the series, provide a more useful criterion for assigning chirality to the binaphthyl skeleton: the present work examines this possibility with particular respect to some $8,8^{\prime}$-disubstituted $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyls of previously unassigned absolute configuration.

Table 1 lists the main features of the u.v. absorption spectra in $96 \%$ ethanol of some $8,8^{\prime}$-disubstituted, 8 substituted, and $2,2^{\prime}$-disubstituted $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyls.
$\dagger$ D.M.S. is an abbreviation of 'Documentation of Molecular Spectra, U.V. Atlas of Organic Compounds,' Butterworths, London, 1966, et seq.
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The principles governing the position and intensity of bands in u.v. spectra of hindered biaryls have recently been reviewed. ${ }^{5}$


|  | 8 | $8^{\prime}$ | 2 | $2{ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I) ${ }^{4}$ | H | H | H | H |
| (II) ${ }^{6}$ | Me | Me | H | H |
| (III) ${ }^{7}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | H | H |
| (IV) 7,12 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | H |
| (V) ${ }^{6}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | H |
| (VI) ${ }^{6}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ | H | H |
| (VII) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | H | H |
| (VIII) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | H | H |
| (IX) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | H |
| (X) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{NI}}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}}$ | H | H |
| (XI) 8 | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | H | H |
| (XII) ${ }^{9}$ | Me | H | H | H |
| (XIII) ${ }^{7}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | H | H | H |
| (XIV) ${ }^{10,11}$ | H | H | Me | Me |
| (XV) ${ }^{13,14}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ |
| (XVI) ${ }^{13,14}$ | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ |

The u.v. spectrum of 9,10 -dihydro- $3,4: 5,6$-dibenzophenanthrene has been recorded, ${ }^{14,15, ~} \dagger$ and a comparison
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between the spectra of this compound and $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl is interesting. The former compound shows three distinct major bands [220 ( $\varepsilon 121,000$ ), 229.9 (31,000), $239 \cdot 5$ (42,000), $273 \cdot 5$ (2700), $279 \cdot 5$ (3300), 320 $(11,000), 334 \cdot 5(13,000)$, and $347 \mathrm{~nm}(13,400)]$ the one at 239.5 nm being attributed to conjugation between the naphthalene rings (dihedral angle $c a .20^{\circ}$ ). Such a band occurs in the spectrum of the relatively unhindered $2,2^{\prime}$-binaphthyl, ${ }^{16}$ but is missing from that of $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl. All the substituted $\mathbf{1 , 1} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}$-binaphthyls in Table 1 lack this band, except for the 8,8'-dicarboxylic acid (III) and its ester (IV). Complications arise in (III) and (IV) which are not yet elucidated in detail: naphthalene and l-methylnaphthalene have $\lambda_{\text {max. }} 221$ (hexane) and 224 nm (ethanol) respectively, while the corresponding $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyls (I) and (II) absorb at

The absolute configuration of $(+)$-(I) is already established as $S ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 8}}(+)$-(XIV) is $S ; \mathbf{3 , 1 0 , 1 9}(-)$ (XV) is $S,{ }^{1,3,10}$ and ( + )-(XVI) is $R .^{1,3}$ Among the $8,8^{\prime}$-disubstituted compounds, the following are linked to the optically active precursor (-)-(III) by chemical reactions which do not involve the chiral axis and hence they are all of the same chirality (Scheme).


Enantiomers of (VIII) were prepared by optical resolution of the ( $\pm$ )-compound: esterification gave

Table 1
U.v. absorption spectra ${ }^{a}\left(\lambda / \mathrm{nm} ; \varepsilon / \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$

| Short wave band |  |  |  |  | Long wave band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}$. | $\epsilon_{\text {max }}$. | $\lambda_{\text {min }}$. | $\epsilon \mathrm{mtn}$ | $\cdots$ | $\epsilon$ | $\lambda$ | $\epsilon$ | $\lambda$ | $\epsilon$ | $\lambda$ | $\epsilon$ | $\lambda$ | $?$ |
| (1) $b$ | 220 | 101,090 | 249 | 4760 | 262 inf | 6210 | 272inf | 9900 | 283 | 13,400 | 293 | 13,000 | 313sh | 2950 |
| (1I) | 221.5 | 85,000 | 256 | 5600 | 280 sh | 11,000 | 290 | 14,000 | 309 | 13,000 |  |  |  |  |
| (III) e, f | 219.5 | 60,000 | 267.5 | 4800 | 309 | 13,800 | 332 | 9400 |  | 13,00 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $239 \cdot 5$ | 44,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (IV) | $219 \cdot 5$ | 65,000 | 266.5 | 5700 | 305 | 13,000 | 320sh | 9400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 230 sh | 47,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (V) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $223 \cdot 5$ | 80,000 | 258 | 5800 | 279inf | 10,000 | 291 | 13,000 | 300 | 12,600 | 322 - Pinf | 5000 |  |  |
| (VI) | 924.j | 76,000 | 258.5 | 5800 | 280 inf | 10,000 | 290 | 18,000 | 300 | 12,000 | $322 \cdot 5$ | 4900 |  |  |
| (VII) | 224-5 | 54,800 | 265 | 5000 | 280 inf | 7890 | 302 | 12,370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (VIII) | $222 \cdot 5$ | 83,100 | 257 | 5500 | 269 | 7300 | 279 | 10,000 | 290 | 12,700 | 301 | 19,000 | 824 | 1430 |
| (1X) | 223 | 87,300 | 257 | 5050 | 267 | 7300 | 277 | 9900 | 290 | 13,100 | 301 | 12,100 | 324 | 2200 |
| (X) | 221.5 | 139,400 | 274 | 11,200 | 285 | 12,560 | 298 | 13,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (XI) | $225 \cdot 5$ | 70,000 | 264.5 | 5500 | 303. | 11,000 | 330sh | 6900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (XII) | 221 | 90,000 | $253 \cdot 5$ | 5000 | 287.5 | 13,000 | 296 | 13,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (XIII) | 221.5 | 69,000 | $261 \cdot 5$ | 4800 | 296 | 11,000 | 325sh | 5800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (XIV)e | 225.5 | 140,000 | 248 | 4400 | $265 i n f$ | 8200 | 275inf | 11,000 | 28.5 | 13,000 | 292 | 12,009 | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \cdot 5 \\ & 314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3000 \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ |
| (XV) e,f | 230 | 69,000 | 263.5 | 7200 | 275 sh | 9400 | 285.5 | 12,000 | 297sh | 9200 |  |  |  |  |
| (XVI) $e$ | 225 | 135,000 | 250 | 5100 | 260 inf | 8500 | 275sh | 11,600 | 284 | 14,000 | 292 | 12,200 | $305 \cdot 5$ | 2900 |

${ }^{\circ}$ Solvent $96 \%$ ethanol. ${ }^{b}$ M. M. Harris and R. Z. Mazengo D.M.S. E1/44: see also ref. 16. e Ref. 12 and D.M.S. E1/46. dM. M. Harris and R. Z. Mazengo D.M.S. E1/T4. Ref. 1 previously determined in dioxan. f While we were engaged in this work Professor S. F. Mason kindly allowed us to see his unpublished spectra for these two compounds: our results are in substantial agreement.

220 and 221.5 nm (both in ethanol): 1-naphthoic acid has $\lambda_{\text {max }} 224 \mathrm{~nm}$ (methanol) but the corresponding $1,1^{\prime}-$ binaphthyl (III) has peaks at 219 and 239.5 nm in this region. The simple additivity which would be expected to follow from largely inhibited conjugation between the naphthalene rings ${ }^{16}$ is shown in (I) and (II) but is modified in (III).

Absence of a distinct conjugation band suggests that the dihedral angle between the main planes of the two naphthalene nuclei is $>60^{\circ}$, , and indeed, models indicate that the bulky substituents in many of the compounds keep the planes at even higher mutual angles. However, Lefèvre ${ }^{17}$ and his co-workers have calculated the dihedral angle in $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl itself, in solution, from molecular Kerr constants, and obtained a result of $48^{\circ}$. At this angle a weak conjugation band would be expected: if it exists it must be masked by the strong short wave band at $\lambda_{\text {max. }} 220 \mathrm{~nm}$; this conclusion could apply to some of the other substances in Table 1.
${ }^{16}$ R. A. Friedel, M. Orchin, and L. Reggel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70, 199.
${ }_{17}$ R. J. W. Lefèvre, A. Sundaram, and K. M. S. Sundaram, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 3180.
enantiomers (IX). Details of o.r.d. spectra in $96 \%$ ethanol for compounds (II)-(VI), (VIII), (X), and (XIV), and of c.d. data in $95 \%$ ethanol for compounds (II), (III), (VI), (VIII), (X), and (XIV) are given in the Experimental section.
In Table 2 are shown the wave-lengths at which the negative and positive extrema of the observed c.d. occur, in the region of the short wave band of the u.v. spectrum: data for five optically active $8,8^{\prime}$-disubstituted $1,1^{\prime}-$ binaphthyls are given, together with those for $(+)-1,1^{\prime}-$ binaphthyl and ( + )-2,2'-dimethyl-1, $l^{\prime}$-binaphthyl as standards of comparison.

The patterns of the spectra of compounds $(+)-(\mathrm{I})$, $(+)-(\mathrm{II}), \quad(+)-(\mathrm{VIII}), \quad(+)-(\mathrm{X}), \quad$ and $(+)-(\mathrm{XIV})$ are closely similar, the negative and positive c.d. bands correspond in sign and $[\theta]=0$ at a wave-length equal or near to $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of the u.v. spectrum: it seems reasonable therefore to assign the $S$-configuration to $(+)$-(II), $(+)-(\mathrm{VIII})$, and ( + )-(X). Compounds (III) and (VI) are

[^0]less straightforward; in (-)-(III) the change of sign of the c.d. corresponds with neither of the u.v. maxima, and in ( + )-(VI) also there is a marked difference. In addition to the unspecified factors which introduce complexity into the u.v. spectrum of (III), both (III) and (VI) may have contributions to their c.d. spectra from chiral bands of low rotational strength which arise because the rotation of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ groups is restricted. However, as ( - )-(III) and ( + )-(VI) must have the same configuration as $(+)$-(II), it is probable that the major bands considered do arise from similar structural features and in spite of minor modifications are characteristic of the $S$-configuration. All the compounds in Table 2
parameters and transition state theory functions for its racemisation calculated. The results are given in Table 3 , with selected examples for comparison: the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ group is apparently the bulkiest in the list, the nearest to it in effective blocking size being $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. However, the order of the free energies of activation for racemisation is substantially modified by entropy factors which suggest that the two acids are solvated.

Fragmentation of (VIII) under electron impact as revealed in the mass spectrum is clearly interesting but not easy to interpret unless divergent pathways are assumed. The carboxy-groups are sufficiently stable for the molecular ion to appear: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ probably represents

Table 2
Correlation of configuration through c.d. ( $\lambda / \mathrm{nm}$; [ $\theta$ ] in parentheses) in the short wave ( $\lambda 214-240 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) region

| Compound | (I) | (II) | (III) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | (VI) | (VIII) | (X) | (XIV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sign of rotation at 589 nm | (+) | (+) | (-) | (+) | $(+)$ | (+) | (+) |
| Negative extremum | 214 | 218 | 223 | 228 | 220 | [214] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 221 |
| Positive extremum | 225 | 229.5 | 240 | 241 | 230 | 231 | 228.5 |
|  | $(+825,000)$ | $(+1200,000)$ | $(+420,000)$ | $(+142,000)$ | $(+135,000)$ | $(+280,000)$ | $(+1240,000)$ |
| Configuration previously assigned | $S^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  | So |
| Configuration by c.d. correlation | $S$ | $S$ | $S$ | $S$ | $S$ | $S$ | S |

Table 3
Arrhenius parameters and transition state theory functions for racemisation of some 8,8'-disubstituted $1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyls

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | Solvent | $E / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\log _{10} A$ | $\Delta F^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} / \mathrm{cal}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ |

${ }^{\circ}$ M. M. Harris and A. S. Mellor, Chem. and Ind., 1959, 949. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ref. 6.
show the negative Cotton effect of medium to low rotational strength near 285 nm , as Mislow ${ }^{3}$ found in the $2,2^{\prime}$-disubstituted series. The diagnostic value attributed to the two prominent short wave c.d. bands falls into line with observations made by Badger ${ }^{20}$ and theoretical deductions made by Mason ${ }^{21}$ for compounds in the 1,1 '-bianthryl series.
$1,1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-diacetic acid (VIII) was synthesised by alkaline hydrolysis of $8,8^{\prime}$-biscyanomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$ binaphthyl, itself prepared from $8,8^{\prime}$-bisbromomethyl-$1,1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl ${ }^{6}$ by heating it for some hours with aqueous ethanolic potassium cyanide. Neither of these successive reactions was suitable for preserving the optical activity of atropisomers, had the optically active dibromo-compound been used: therefore the racemic acid was made from racemic precursors and resolved subsequently by crystallisation of its quinine salt from acetone. The acid is optically stable at room temperature but is optically labile at higher temperatures: its racemisation in sodium hydroxide solution was followed polarimetrically at four temperatures, and the Arrhenius
${ }^{20}$ G. M. Badger, R. J. Drewer, and G. E. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 4268.
a perylenium radical (XVII). The structure of the major fragment, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, and the next in abundance, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15}$, are a matter for conjecture: (XVIII) and (XIX) are worthy of consideration.


## EXPERIMENTAL

U.v. spectra were determined on a Unicam SP 500 spectrophotometer in $96 \%$ ethanol: 2 mm cell used normally, 1 cm for some of the longer wave-lengths. Polarimeter
${ }^{21}$ R. Grinter and S. F. Mason, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1964, 60, 274, see also S. F. Mason 'Optical Rotatory Dispersion and Circular Dichroism in Organic Chemistry,' ed. G. Snatzke, Heyden, London, 1967, ch. 4.
readings in the region $365-578 \mathrm{~nm}$ were made on a Zeiss photoelectric polarimeter with a thermostatically controlled polarimeter tube $l=0.5$ or $\mathbf{l d m}$. O.r.d. spectra were determined on a Perkin-Elmer P23 spectrophotopolarimeter. C.d. data for compounds (II), (III), (VI), (X), and (XIV) were measured on a Fica Spectropol I, and for (VIII) on a Jouan Dichrographe. Mass spectra were taken with an A.E.I. MS902 double-focusing mass spectrometer at 70 eV . N.m.r. spectra, at 60 MHz , refer to tetramethylsilane as standard.

8,8'-Biscyanomethyl-1,1'-binaphthyl (VII).-8,8'-Bisbro-momethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (VI) ${ }^{6}(7.3 \mathrm{~g})$ suspended in $95 \%$ aqueous ethanol ( 100 ml ) was added gradually during 2 h to a boiling solution of potassium cyanide ( $2 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(17.3 \mathrm{~g})$ and boiled for 3 h . After cooling, water was added until precipitation was complete; the precipitate crystallised from benzene in dark red prisms ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 77.5 \%$ ), m.p. $218-223^{\circ}$ (decomp.), m.p. in apparatus pre-heated to $220^{\circ}, 230-232^{\circ}$ [Found: C, $\mathbf{8 6} \cdot 4$; $\mathrm{H}, 4.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.5 \% ; M, 332.1313$ (mass spectrum). $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 86.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.4 \% ; M, 332 \cdot 1314]$, $\nu_{\text {max }}$ (Nujol) $2250 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (CN N str.), $\tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2 \cdot 00-2 \cdot 75(12 \mathrm{H}$, complex m, aromatic), and $5.83-6.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}, J 10\right.$ Hz ).

1,1'-Binaphthyl-8,8'-diacetic Acid (VIII).-8,8'-Biscyano-methyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl ( 5 g ) was boiled with $50 \%$ aqueous ethanolic potassium hydroxide ( 100 ml ) until there was no further evolution of ammonia; the potassium salt which separated on cooling was filtered off, dissolved in water, purified by boiling with charcoal, and acidified with $3 \mathrm{~N}-$ hydrochloric acid. The precipitated acid was crystallised from methanol ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ), m.p. $320^{\circ}$ (decomp.) (Found: C, $77 \cdot 7 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{O}, \mathbf{1 7 . 2} \% ; M^{+}, 370 \cdot 1195 . \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 77 \cdot 8 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.9 ; \mathrm{O}, 17 \cdot 3 \% ; M, 370 \cdot 1205)$, $\nu_{\text {max. }}$ (Nujol) $1700 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, poor solubility precluded the observation of a reliable n.m.r. spectrum, m/e 370 ( $28 \%$ ), 352 (8), 326 (8), 325 (10), 324 (14), 323 (8), 281 (12), 280 (16), 279 (56), 278 (24), 277 (28), 276 (20), 267 (20), 266 (36), 265 (100), 264 (12), 263 (16), 253 (8), 252 (20), 239 (8), 140 (8), 139 (24), and 138 (24), high resolution $m / e 370 \cdot 1195$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ requires $\left.370 \cdot 1205\right), 324 \cdot 1158\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ requires 324•1150), 279•1171 ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15}$, requires 279•1174), 277•1013 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right.$ requires $277 \cdot 1017$ ), $265 \cdot 1017 \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right.$ requires $265 \cdot 1017$ ), and $252 \cdot 0941\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right.$ requires $252 \cdot 0939$ ).

Optically Active 1,1'-Binaphthyl-8,8'-diacetic Acid.-The ( $\pm$ )-acid was resolved by crystallising its monoquinine salt from acetone; the less soluble diastereoisomeric salt was decomposed to give the ( + )-acid, m.p. $308-310^{\circ},[\alpha]_{546}{ }^{20}$ $+249^{\circ}(c 0.18 ; l 0.5 \mathrm{dm}$; $N N$-dimethylformamide) (Found: C, $78.1 ; \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{5} .1 \%$ ). The more soluble salt gave the (一)acid, []$_{548^{20}}{ }^{20}-245^{\circ}$ (c 0.212; $N N$-dimethylformamide) (Found: C, 77.7 ; H, $5 \cdot 0 ; \mathrm{O}, 17 \cdot 3 \%$ ).
Racemisation in Aqueous Sodium Hydroxide.-The (+)acid ( 0.1868 g ) was dissolved in $0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 50 ml ) and portions of the solution were sealed in ampoules, kept in an oil thermostat at a series of suitable temperatures, withdrawn at measured time intervals, cooled immediately to room temperature, and the optical rotation measured. The chemical identity of racemised solutions was confirmed spectroscopically. First-order rate constants for racemisation were calculated for each temperature and racemisation parameters derived from them by the procedure previously described: ${ }^{22} k_{\mathrm{rac}}{ }^{1110} 9.15 \times 10^{-5}$, $k_{\mathrm{rac}}{ }^{1230} 2.8 \times 10^{-4}, k_{\mathrm{rac}}{ }^{1330} 7.3 \times 10^{-4}, k_{\mathrm{rac}}{ }^{1370} 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; $E=32 \cdot 0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \log _{10} A=14 \cdot 3 ; \quad \Delta F^{\ddagger}=29 \cdot 6 \mathrm{kcal}$
$\mathrm{mol}^{-1} ; \quad \Delta H^{\ddagger}=31 \cdot 2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1} ; \quad \Delta S^{\ddagger}=3.6 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ (average for the temperature range).

8,8'-Bismethoxycarbonylmethyl-1, 1'-binaphthyl.-The acid (VIII) ( lg ) was added to a cold ethereal solution of diazomethane $(0 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~g})$ : the dimethyl ester crystallised from benzene, m.p. $125-127^{\circ}$, yield $c a .100 \%$ (Found: C, $78.55 ;$ H, 5.8 . $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\left.\mathrm{C}, 78 \cdot 4 ; \mathrm{H}, 5 \cdot 6 \%\right) \tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2 \cdot 00-3 \cdot 00$ ( 4 H and 8 H , complex m , aromatic), $6.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, naphthyl$\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), and $6.83\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

8,8'-Bisethoxycarbonylmethyl-1,1'-binaphthyl (IX).-The acid (VIII) ( 1 g ) was boiled for 3 h with thionyl chloride $(0.5 \mathrm{ml})$ in benzene $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and then cooled, ethanol ( 5 ml ) was added dropwise, and boiling was resumed for a further 1 h . Normal work-up gave the diethyl ester ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ), m.p. $95-96^{\circ}$ (Found: C, 78.7 ; H, $6 \cdot 1$; O, $15.0 \% ; M^{+}, 426 \cdot 1820$. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\left.\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{7 8 . 9} ; \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{6} \cdot \mathbf{1} ; \mathrm{O}, \mathbf{1 5} \cdot \mathbf{0} \% ; M, \mathbf{4 2 6} \cdot 183 \mathrm{I}\right)$, $\nu_{\text {max. }}$ (Nujol) $1740-1725 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), \tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.00-$ 3.00 ( 4 and 8 H , complex m , aromatic), $6.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, naphthyl $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $6.36\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 9.04(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), m/e 426 ( $6 \%$ ), 381 (5), 380 (13), 353 (11), 352 (24), 323 (23), 305 (11), 280 (13), 279 (54), 278 (46), 277 (43), 276 (19), 266 (16), 265 (100), 264 (8), 252 (11), and 139 (8), $m^{*} 339=380^{2} / 426$.
8, 8'-Bis-(1-pyridiniomethyl)-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl Di-iodide (X). -8,8'-Bisbromomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (VI) ( 1 g ) was stirred with an excess of hot, dry pyridine; the solid bromide which separated was very hygroscopic and failed to crystallise. By the action of hot concentrated potassium iodide solution it was converted into the iodide which formed yellow crystals of the di-iodide dihydrate when crystalled from a concentrated aqueous solution ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 87.5 \%$ ), m.p. $196^{\circ}$ (decomp.), m.p. after losing water ca. $165^{\circ}$ (Found: C, $52 \cdot 9 ; \mathrm{H}, 4 \cdot 2 ; \mathrm{N}, 3 \cdot 8 ; \mathrm{I}, 34 \cdot 8 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C , 52.7 ; H, $4 \cdot 1$; N, $3 \cdot 8$; I, $34 \cdot 8 \%$ ).

Optically Active Di-iodide (X).—Repeating the above procedure on a sample of (-)-8, $8^{\prime}$-bisbromomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}-$ binaphthyl, $[\alpha]_{546^{200}}-180^{\circ}$, gave ( - )-(X), $[\alpha]_{546}{ }^{180}-27 \cdot 7^{\circ}(c$ 0.396 ; $95 \%$ ethanol) (Found: C, 52.9 ; H, $4 \cdot 2$; N, 3.8 ; I, $35 \cdot 2 \%)$.

Use of the ( + )-8, $8^{\prime}$-bisbromomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl, $[\alpha]_{546}{ }^{200}+348 \cdot 7^{\circ}$, gave $(+)-(\mathrm{X}),[\alpha]_{546}{ }^{18 \circ}+202 \cdot 0^{\circ}$.
O.r.d.-The sign of rotation in the title of each compound refers to $\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}$. Most of the compounds are optically labile and are made from optically labile precursors; thus they are not optically pure: $c=$ concentration in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$; wave-length in $\mathrm{nm},[\alpha]$ in parentheses, $t=21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise stated solvent $95 \%$ ethanol.
(i) (+)-8, $8^{\prime}$-Dimethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (II). $c=0.14-$ $0.028, t=22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 215\left(-48,000^{\circ}\right), 220-222 \cdot 5\left(-35,000^{\circ}\right)$, $228\left(0^{\circ}\right), 235\left(+85,700^{\circ}\right), 265\left(+27,800^{\circ}\right), 288\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, and 291 $\left(-4,600^{\circ}\right)$. The band at $c a .265 \mathrm{~nm}$ changed in specific rotation on heating to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and did not return to the same value on cooling.
(ii) (-)-1, $1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid (III). $\quad c=$ $1 \cdot 3-0 \cdot 01,215\left(-295,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 230\left(-537,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 233$ $\left(-564,000^{\circ}\right), 242\left(0^{\circ}\right), 249 \cdot 5\left(+16,000^{\circ}\right), 159\left(+4600^{\circ}\right)$, $270\left(+10,800^{\circ}\right), 304 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 312\left(-3900^{\circ}\right), 320\left(-2500^{\circ}\right)$, $340\left(-5800^{\circ}\right), 400\left(-2000^{\circ}\right)$, and $546\left(-839^{\circ}\right)$.
(iii) (+)-1, 1'-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid (III). $\quad c=$ $0 \cdot 496-0 \cdot 03,215\left(+55,000^{\circ}\right), 230\left(+116,000^{\circ}\right), 242\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $249\left(-50,000^{\circ}\right), 258\left(-9000^{\circ}\right), 280\left(-25,000^{\circ}\right), 304\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $312 \cdot 5\left(+8000^{\circ}\right), 345\left(+10,000^{\circ}\right)$, and $400\left(+3300^{\circ}\right)$.
(iv) ( - )-8, 8'-Bismethoxycarbonyl-1, 1'-binaphthyl (IV).
${ }^{22}$ D. M. Hall and M. M. Harris, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 490.
$c=1 \cdot 28-0 \cdot 128,215\left(-13,300^{\circ}\right), 232\left(-33,000^{\circ}\right), 237\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $243\left(+13,500^{\circ}\right), 251\left(0^{\circ}\right), 258\left(-2300^{\circ}\right), 259 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 275$ $\left(+14,000^{\circ}\right), 301 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 337\left(-2500^{\circ}\right)$.
(v) ( + )-8, $8^{\prime}$-Bishydroxymethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (V). $c=$ $2 \cdot 36-0.056,218\left(-2000^{\circ}\right), 228\left(-9000^{\circ}\right), 231 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $245\left(+14,000^{\circ}\right), 260\left(+6000^{\circ}\right), 268\left(+7000^{\circ}\right), 303\left(+2000^{\circ}\right)$, $308\left(+2300^{\circ}\right), 330\left(+700^{\circ}\right)$.
(vi) (+)-8, 8'-Bisbromomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (VI). $c=$ $0.30-0.018,220\left(-46,700^{\circ}\right), 227\left(-93,000^{\circ}\right), 235-238$ $\left(-82,000^{\circ}\right), 248\left(0^{\circ}\right), 255\left(+23,500^{\circ}\right), 258\left(+25,000^{\circ}\right)$, $285\left(+14,000^{\circ}\right), 320\left(1000^{\circ}\right)$, and $546\left(+348^{\circ}\right)$.
(vii) (-)-1, $1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-diacetic acid (VIII). $c=$ $0.51-0.02,217.5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 220\left(+22,000^{\circ}\right), 228\left(+134,000^{\circ}\right)$, $239\left(0^{\circ}\right), 248\left(-55,300^{\circ}\right), 250\left(-49,000^{\circ}\right), 254\left(-48,000^{\circ}\right)$, $268\left(-16,500^{\circ}\right), 280\left(-11,300^{\circ}\right), 293\left(0^{\circ}\right), 307\left(1700^{\circ}\right)$, $310\left(0^{\circ}\right), 330\left(-2300^{\circ}\right)$, and $546\left(-307^{\circ}\right)$.
(viii) ( + )-1, $1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-diacetic acid (VIII). $c=$ $0.51-0.02,213\left(-36,600^{\circ}\right), 227\left(-80,500^{\circ}\right), 239\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $247.5\left(+37,000^{\circ}\right), 252\left(+20,500^{\circ}\right), 269\left(+10,000^{\circ}\right), 280$ $\left(+7,300^{\circ}\right), 291\left(0^{\circ}\right), 300\left(-600^{\circ}\right), 308\left(0^{\circ}\right), 328\left(+2100^{\circ}\right)$, and $546\left(+227^{\circ}\right)$.
(ix) (一)-8, 8'-Bis-(1-pyridiniomethyl)-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl Diiodide (X). $\quad c=0.31-0.025,215\left(+4000^{\circ}\right), 223\left(+16,000^{\circ}\right)$, $231.5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 250\left(-6000^{\circ}\right), 260-275\left(-4000^{\circ}\right), 298\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $305\left(+500^{\circ}\right), 315\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, and $320\left(-400^{\circ}\right)$.
(x) (+)-8, $8^{\prime}$-Bis-(1-pyridiniomethyl)-1,1'-binaphthyl Diiodide (X). $\quad c=0.5-0.03,210\left(-40,000^{\circ}\right), 217\left(-48,000^{\circ}\right)$, $231.5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 250\left(+34,000^{\circ}\right), 270-275\left(+10,700^{\circ}\right), 290$ $\left(+7300^{\circ}\right), 301\left(0^{\circ}\right), 304\left(-400^{\circ}\right), 315\left(0^{\circ}\right), 328\left(+1300^{\circ}\right)$, and 546 (202 ${ }^{\circ}$ ).
(xi) (-)-2,2'-Dimethyl-1, 1'-binaphthyl (XIV). $\quad c=0.55-$ $0.01, \quad t=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad 215 \quad\left(-31,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 218 \quad\left(0^{\circ}\right), \quad 224.5$ $\left(+300,000^{\circ}\right), 231\left(0^{\circ}\right), 234\left(-84,000^{\circ}\right), 253\left(+54,000^{\circ}\right)$, $264\left(0^{\circ}\right), 272\left(+31,000^{\circ}\right), 280-285\left(+22,000^{\circ}\right), 315\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $319\left(-450^{\circ}\right)$, and $321 \cdot 5\left(+1800^{\circ}\right)$. The region between 260 and 290 nm is sensitive to concentration and to temperature, e.g., $[\alpha]_{272}{ }^{300}=+31,000^{\circ},[\alpha]_{272}{ }^{50 \circ}=+3600^{\circ}$; the change is reversed on cooling.
(xii) (+)-2, 2'-Dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthyl (XIV). $\quad c=$ $0.45-0.009, \quad t=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 214\left(+74,000^{\circ}\right), 218\left(0^{\circ}\right), 223$ $\left(-365,000^{\circ}\right), 229\left(0^{\circ}\right), 235\left(+141,000^{\circ}\right), 302\left(0^{\circ}\right), 303$ $\left(-1000^{\circ}\right), 309\left(-800^{\circ}\right), 318\left(0^{\circ}\right), 319\left(+450^{\circ}\right), 321\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $322\left(+1400^{\circ}\right)$, and $546\left(+22^{\circ}\right)$.
C.d.- $c=$ concentration in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$, wavelength in nm , molar ellipticity $\theta$ in parentheses, $t=21.5^{\circ}$, solvent $95 \%$ ethanol.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) (+-)-8, 8'-Dimethyl-1, } 1^{\prime} \text {-binaphthyl } & \text { (II). } \quad c=0.23-\end{array}$
$0.0046,210\left(0^{\circ}\right), 215\left(-220,000^{\circ}\right), 218\left(-320,000^{\circ}\right), 220$ $\left(-200,000^{\circ}\right), 222 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 229 \cdot 5\left(+120,000^{\circ}\right), 250\left(+33,000^{\circ}\right)$, $255 \cdot 5-257 \cdot 5\left(+32,000^{\circ}\right), 269 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 285\left(-35,000^{\circ}\right), 287$ $\left(-35,000^{\circ}\right), 290\left(-26,000^{\circ}\right), 300\left(-1600^{\circ}\right), 302\left(0^{\circ}\right), 306 \cdot 5$ $\left(+3200^{\circ}\right), 313(+800), 316\left(+1000^{\circ}\right), 318\left(+800^{\circ}\right), 321$ $\left(+1600^{\circ}\right), 323\left(0^{\circ}\right), 325 \cdot 5\left(-4400^{\circ}\right), 330\left(-1400^{\circ}\right)$, and $335\left(0^{\circ}\right)$.
(ii) (-)-1, $1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-dicarboxylic acid (III). $\quad c=$ $0 \cdot 6-0.024,214\left(0^{\circ}\right), 223\left(-500,000^{\circ}\right), 232 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 240$ $\left(+420,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 250\left(+140,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 251\left(+35,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 270$ $\left(+176,000^{\circ}\right), 281\left(0^{\circ}\right), 289-296\left(-50,500^{\circ}\right), 324\left(-32,000^{\circ}\right)$, $326\left(-37,000^{\circ}\right), 330\left(-33,800^{\circ}\right), 334\left(-32,000^{\circ}\right)$, and 340 $\left(-20,000^{\circ}\right)$.
(iii) (+)-8,8'-Bisbromomethyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl (VI). $c=$ $0.5-0.02, \quad 218\left(-380,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 220\left(-436,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 224$ $\left(-762,000^{\circ}\right), 228\left(-760,000^{\circ}\right), 234\left(-109,000^{\circ}\right), 238\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $241\left(+142,000^{\circ}\right), 260\left(0^{\circ}\right), 265\left(-26,000^{\circ}\right), 270\left(-22,000^{\circ}\right)$, $300\left(-35,000^{\circ}\right)$, and $340\left(0^{\circ}\right)$.
(iv) $(+)-1,1^{\prime}-$ Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-diacetic acid (VIII). $c=$ $0.54-0.054,214\left(-130,000^{\circ}\right), 220\left(-340,000^{\circ}\right), 226\left(0^{\circ}\right)$, $230\left(+135,000^{\circ}\right), 250\left(0^{\circ}\right), 280-286\left(-18,000^{\circ}\right)$, and 310 $\left(-1700^{\circ}\right)$.
(v) (-)-1, $1^{\prime}$-Binaphthyl-8, $8^{\prime}$-diacetic acid (VIII). $c=$ $0.4-0.04,217\left(0^{\circ}\right), 220\left(+250,000^{\circ}\right), 222\left(+260,000^{\circ}\right)$, $226\left(0^{\circ}\right), 231\left(-110,000^{\circ}\right), 250\left(0^{\circ}\right), 270\left(+13,000^{\circ}\right), 280-284$ $\left(+10,000^{\circ}\right)$, and $300\left(0^{\circ}\right)$.
(vi) $(+)-8,8^{\prime}-$ Bis-(1-pyridiniomethyl)-1, $1^{\prime}$-binaphthyl Diiodide ( X ). $\quad c=0.71-0.142, \quad 214\left(-940,000^{\circ}\right), \quad 220$ $\left(-280,000^{\circ}\right), 222 \cdot 5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 231\left(+280,000^{\circ}\right), 234\left(+260,000^{\circ}\right)$, $266\left(0^{\circ}\right), 286\left(-55,000^{\circ}\right), 293\left(-35,000^{\circ}\right), 296\left(-40,000^{\circ}\right)$, $320\left(-5000^{\circ}\right)$, and $340\left(0^{\circ}\right)$.
(vii) (+)-2,2'-Dimethyl-1,1'-binaphthyl (XIV). $c=$ $0.42-0.0084,213\left(0^{\circ}\right), 221\left(-590,000^{\circ}\right), 222\left(-545,000^{\circ}\right)$, $224.5\left(0^{\circ}\right), 228.5\left(+1240,000^{\circ}\right), 259\left(0^{\circ}\right), 280\left(-29,000^{\circ}\right)$, $283\left(-30,000^{\circ}\right), 304\left(-2200^{\circ}\right), 306\left(-2500^{\circ}\right), 312\left(-700^{\circ}\right)$, $320\left(-3000^{\circ}\right), 325-335\left(-200^{\circ}\right)$, and $340\left(0^{\circ}\right)$.
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